



OSHA INSPECTIONS – EMPLOYEE INTERVIEWS

WHOSE PRIVATE INTERVIEW IS IT?

By: Mark A. Lies, II*

INTRODUCTION

As anyone who has ever experienced an OSHA inspection is well aware, a key element is the agency's interviews of employees by the compliance officers from the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Unfortunately, a lot of confusion has occurred over the respective rights of OSHA, the employer and the employees. This article will discuss these respective rights during an interview.

INSPECTION CONDUCT

During any inspection, the compliance officer will request employee interviews (both management and non-management employees) in order to gather facts as to whether there may have been violations of the agency's regulations. Many employers fail to advise their employees of their rights during such interviews and these rights are never exercised. The general rights of the various parties are as follows:

* Mark A. Lies, II, is a partner with the law firm of Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 131 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2400, Chicago, IL 60603 (312) 460-5877, mlies@seyfarth.com.

Employees:

- Have a right to a private one-on-one interview with the compliance officer which is confidential and is considered "protected activity". The employee cannot suffer any "adverse action" from the employer for exercising this right. The compliance officer cannot disclose the contents of the interview.
- Have a right to refuse to be interviewed by the compliance officer. Many employees are reluctant to speak to compliance officers because they have been emotionally impacted by an accident in which a co-employee has been injured or they are fearful of speaking to a governmental representative. Some employees feel that they may be intimidated or manipulated during the interview to expose them to liability. In this regard, an employee cannot be forced to have a private one-on-one interview. These interviews are totally voluntary. If the employee declines to be interviewed (and the employee need not give any reason for the decision) the agency will have to decide whether to obtain a subpoena to require the interview. If the agency obtains a subpoena, the employee has the full scope of rights to respond, including the right to counsel.
- Have a right to decline to have a one-on-one private interview and right to have a person of their choice attend the interview and, if the compliance officer refuses to allow this person to attend, decline to be interviewed. Some employees feel comfortable being interviewed if they have another person present during the interview. Again, if the compliance officer refuses to allow this other person to attend, the employee can decline the interview for no reason.
- Have a right to end the interview at any time for any reason. Since the interview is completely voluntary (unless OSHA has obtained a subpoena in which case the employee has additional rights) the employee can end the interview at any time and can leave without any explanation.
- Have a right to refuse to sign a statement, be tape recorded or photographed. Again, since the interview is voluntary, the employee cannot be required to sign a statement. Under most state eavesdropping laws, any individual can refuse to be tape-recorded and no reason be given. In a number of states, it is a criminal offense to tape record a conversation without the permission of all persons to the conversation. Finally, any person can refuse to have their photograph taken.

OSHA Rights:

- The compliance officer has the right to interview the employee in private, if the employee consents.
- Has a right to have truthful responses to their questions.

Employer Rights:

- Has a right to participate in non-private employee interviews and, if the compliance officer refuses, require that the interviews occur on non-paid work time.
- Has the right to end the interviews if they become disruptive, that is, unreasonably interfere with ongoing work, or become confrontational, in which case the employer should consult legal counsel regarding the termination of the inspection.

EMPLOYEE RIGHT TO LEGAL COUNSEL

Since every employee has the right to decline a private interview unless the employee is allowed to have a person of their choice attend the interview, it is obvious that the employee has the right to have legal counsel present as that person. A more fundamental right of every employer (and citizen) is to have representation by counsel in any administrative or judicial proceeding. In addition, in most jurisdictions, the employer has an obligation to defend its employees when they are faced with liability for their acts which occurred within the scope and course of their employment. Thus, the right to have legal counsel if the employee so elects is unquestionable.

EMPLOYEE POTENTIAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY

Another significant issue which may arise in an OSHA inspection after a serious accident involving a fatality or multiple injuries is potential criminal liability for the employer and individual employees. A basic right under the United States and state constitutions is against self-incrimination (under the Fifth Amendment).

Unfortunately, when the inspection occurs, it is impossible to determine whether criminal charges may result, months or years later, by which time an employee may have incriminated him/herself in the OSHA interviews and exposed themselves to criminal liability. For this reason, it is even more important that legal counsel be considered for the OSHA interviews.

NO CRIMINAL MIRANDA WARNINGS

Another potential problem which warrants legal counsel is the fact that the OSHA compliance officer is not required to give the employee the Miranda warnings that inform the employee that in the interview:

- the employee has a right to remain silent
- the employee's statements can and will be used against them
- the employee has a right to have an attorney
- the state must provide the employee with an attorney if the employee cannot afford an attorney

Thus, an employee may unknowingly expose him/herself to criminal liability during the interview and no warnings have been given by the compliance officer during the interview because they are not required to be given since the compliance officer is not a police officer and the employee has not been placed under arrest.

OSHA OBJECTIONS TO LEGAL COUNSEL

Unfortunately, in many inspections, OSHA objects to the employee having another person present, including legal counsel. In those instances where OSHA agrees to allow the employee to have legal counsel, the agency objects to allowing the employee to utilize the employer's attorney who has been provided at no cost to the

employee. OSHA claims that such attorney may have a conflict-of-interest representing the employer and also representing the employee in the interview. It should be noted that it is not OSHA's right to object to any potential conflict-of-interest. Rather, that is solely the right of the employee who is free to accept the attorney so long as the attorney has discharged his/her legal obligation to discuss potential conflicts-of-interest with the employee and any potential conflict can be knowingly waived.

OSHA also objects to the employer's legal counsel provided at no cost because the employee may be exposed to retaliation by the employer for what is said in the interview. This argument is likewise without foundation because the employee is protected from retaliation under Section 11(c) of the Act for participating in the interview or inspection with OSHA. Thus, it is patently inappropriate and unfair for the agency to object to the presence of legal counsel provided at no expense by the employer in an interview where an employee could face potential criminal liability and which will force the employee to retain other legal counsel at the employee's expense if the employee wishes to exercise these rights. In most cases, the employee cannot afford to retain counsel and thus is effectively denied legal counsel.

CONCLUSION

It is important that employees be advised of their rights prior to and during the inspection so that they can exercise them. If employees are not so informed, they may waive significant legal rights and expose themselves and the employer to potential legal liability.